MAJOR PROJECT - Final Report – 55%

Student Name:	**Lowri Jones**
Title of Project:	Lingoleam - Welsh teaching website
Supervisor:	**Bev Holland**
2nd marker:	**Nik Whitehead**
Course:	**Computing DIS CNC EEE** **Games Development SE Web (please indicate)**
Project Type:	**Survey Review Experiment Development (please indicate)**

	Mark %
First Marker's General Comments and Original Mark	78
Second Marker's General Comments and Original Mark A very strong project with an excellent design and implementation.	78
Comment After Consultation With Other Marker & Final Agreed Mark	

Signature: Nik Whitehead Date: 26/4/21

	Survey	Review	Experiment	Development
1.Research-informed Literature				
Introduction	/5	/5	/5	4 /5
Literature Review	/20	/35	/20	11.5/15

Comments:
Well-justified aim & objectives.
The "3 types of learner" model is outdated. All types of learning are used, just for different things. Good consideration of the teaching of foreign languages at school. The section on software seems a bit disjointed compared to the rest.

	Survey	Review	Experiment	Development
2. Knowledge and Understanding of Subject				
Methodology	/5	/5	/5	4 /5
Survey Design	/15	-	-	-
Requirements/Design	-	-	-	5 /5

Comments:
Very good methodology, although it should also discuss any ethical issues, reliability, validity and generalisability.
Excellent use of paper prototypes. Very thorough requirements capture process.
Needs to provide the questions, not just the responses.

	Survey	Review	Experiment	Development
3. Analysis				
Results & Discussions	/25	/25	/25	17.5/25
Conclusions and Recommendations	/10	/10	/10	8 /10

Comments:
Good testing, although more detailed the design process would help. Having multiple opportunities for the teachers to provide feedback and influence the design is an excellent approach. It would be even better if you tied their responses back to what you discovered in the literature review. Good conclusion and recommendations

	Survey	Review	Experiment	Development
4. Practical Application and Deployment				
Development	-	-	-	13 /15
Experimental Design	-	-	/15	-

Comments:
Strong description of what was done and why. The implemented design is clear and engaging.

	Survey	Review	Experiment	Development
5. Skills for Professional Practice				
Reflection	/10	/10	/10	7 /10
Quality of Report	/10	/10	/10	8 /10

Comments:

A well-written and well-illustrated report.
References are good, but remember that they come before the full stop.
Remember to check that all text other than captions are left-aligned.
Reflection is good, but could be improved by considering the project in the context of the entire degree.

TOTAL:	**/100**	**/100**	**/100**	78 **/100**